Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,	171	TOKARSKI ET AL	TOKARSKI ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	Examin	ner	Art Unit	
	Christo	pher RoDee	1756	
All Participants:	Status	s of Application:		
(1) <u>Christopher RoDee</u> .	(3)	·		
(2) <u>Brian Jarrells</u> .	(4)	·		
Date of Interview: <u>1 February 2006</u>	Time	:		
	☐ Applicant's repre	esentative)		
Part I.			•	
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, second paragraph.				
Claims discussed: 1 and 27				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL NAT	TURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution of the interview in the Allowance of the applicant to the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examin separate record of	ner will provide a vertile the substance of	written summary of the the interview, since the	substance
•				
CIPAL				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicar	nt's Representativ	e Signature – if approp	oriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted counsel and asked for clarification of claim 27. It was unclear if the linking group is asymmetric or if the entire other portion of the compound on either side of the linking group is constructed so that the compound is asymmetric. Counsel stated that the latter option was intended. The Examiner and Counsel also discussed the previously applied section 112 rejection but no agreements were reached.